UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,248	12/01/2003	Sharon Ann Norton	P145	1932
	7590 12/22/200 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
Global Legal Department - IP			CLARK, AMY LYNN	
Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/725,248	NORTON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Amy L. Clark	1655		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 S</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,7-15 and 19-53 is/are pending in the same of the above claim(s) 13 and 24-53 is/are versions. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,7-12,14,15 and 19-23 is/are rejection. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vithdrawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/725,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of the receipt and entry of the amendment filed on 09/24/2008 with the cancellation of claims 3-6 and 16-18, and newly amended claims 1, 14 and 19.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/24/2008 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 13 and 24- remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 12/13/2005.

Claims 1, 2, 7-12, 14, 15 and 19-23 are currently under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Claims 1, 2, 7-12, 14, 15, 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The metes and bounds of claim 1 and 14 are rendered uncertain by the phrase "wherein the composition is a gravy which is adapted for use by a companion animal" because it is unclear as to what Applicants mean by "adapted for use by a companion animal". For example, do Applicants mean that the gravy is altered or is made prior to administration to a companion animal or do Applicants mean that when administered to a companion animal, that the composition becomes gravy, or something else? The lack of clarity renders the claims indefinite since the resulting claims do not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2, 7-12, 14, 15 and 19-23 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated over Cheuk et al. (B*).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record set forth in the paper mailed on 06/02/2008 and repeated below, slightly altered to take into consideration Applicant's arguments filed on 09/24/2008.

Cheuk teaches a canine pet food composition comprising meat, which Cheuk teaches contains 15-25% protein (See paragraph 0037) and 5-15% fat (See paragraph 0037), beet pulp (which inherently contains insoluble fiber), in an amount of about 8 wt%-16 wt% (See paragraph 0047), and vitamins and minerals (See paragraph 0040) in the form of a chunk and gravy

Art Unit: 1655

composition (See paragraph 0051 and Claim 4). Cheuk further teaches a method of making a canine pet food composition comprising a first step, wherein the meat components are "thermally set" at and/or below temperatures of protein denaturation of the mixture and heated essentially by themselves, that is, with the essential or total absence of grains, additional water, vitamins, minerals, and the like and that the heating process generally improves the texture of the meats by inactivating the bacterial and tissue enzyme processes, it is believed, thereby providing a final pet food composition which is friable, that is, breaks under stress and that the temperature treatment is such that a slight coagulation of the protein occurs which contributes to the moisturized appearance of the protein in the final product (See paragraph 0022). Cheuk further teaches that the next step involves treating grains, which may be beet pulp (See paragraph 0040), separately and then adding them to the previously "thermally set" meat, wherein the grains are mixed and cooked at a temperature range which will achieve or essentially achieve hydration, gelatinization, and retrogradation so as to improve their binding to the meats and/or reduce the stickiness or increase the firmness of the finished product texture and additionally, complex carbohydrate is broken down to simpler carbohydrate. Cheuk further teaches that an elevated temperature is needed to accomplish these properties, wherein this step is carried out at a temperature of up to 180-200 °F but desirably not exceeding 180-185 °F can be employed (See paragraph 0023). Cheuk further teaches that various gravy components, wherein the gravy that ensues (please note that gravy is a thickened sauce, which may be gelatinous) with meat that has been heated to a temperature at or below the denaturation point of the meat portion (please note that gravy can be further defined to include juices and extractives of meat during cooking to form a thickened sauce) are made by heating such as native starches, water and the like to prepare a

Art Unit: 1655

viscosity building slurry or fluid and that the purpose of such preparation is to increase the cohesiveness of the two components (a) and (b) so as to maintain an essentially or totally homogenous mass during the filling process into the container (See paragraph 0024 and Claim 4). Cheuk further teaches that the components are heated with water to about 180-200 °F to provide a viscosity buildup slurry or fluid so as to maintain an essentially or totally homogeneous mass during the filling process while post retorting (after filling) these material(s) will retrograde to deliver the desired characteristics such as friable texture in the final packaged canine diet when opened for consumption (See paragraph 0029).

Cheuk does not expressly teach a composition comprising from about 0.1% to about 20% of total fermentable fiber, however Cheuk teaches an amount of fermentable fiber which falls within the range claimed by Applicant, therefore, Cheuk anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Therefore, the reference anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive of error in the rejection. Applicants argue that Cheuk states "[t]the final mixture is filled into cans which are then sealed and sterilized. In this case, the product produced is a solid mass with recognizable discrete meat particles" (Paragraph 0049) and that Cheuk teaches a solid mass and not a gravy composition. However this is not found persuasive because Cheuk teaches that "the gravy is prepared in the usual manner, for example, by mixing grains, starches, water and vitamins, if desired, and other materials into a mixing tank wherein it is heated and then fed to the container holding the chunky materials" (See paragraph 0001). Cheuk further teaches that beet pulp is an example of a grain (See paragraph 0040) and that beet pulp is mixed and cooked

Application/Control Number: 10/725,248

Art Unit: 1655

Page 6

at a temperature range which will achieve or essentially achieve hydration, gelatinization, and retrogradation (please note that these are all adjectives that describe gravy since gravy is no more than a thickened sauce, which may be gelatinous) so as to improve their binding to the meats and/or reduce the stickiness or increase the firmness of the finished product texture and additionally, complex carbohydrate is broken down to simpler carbohydrate. Cheuk further teaches that an elevated temperature is needed to accomplish these properties, wherein this step is carried out at a temperature of up to 180-200 °F but desirably not exceeding 180-185 °F can be employed (See paragraph 0023). Despite the final appearance of the product, Cheuk teaches that claimed ingredients are in the form of a gravy, and since the gravy comprising Applicants' claimed ingredients was taught by Cheuk, the reference anticipates the claimed subject matter. Furthermore, Applicants' claim explicitly states that the gravy of the composition may be adapted (please note that adapted means "changed in order to improve or made more fit for a particular purpose" and "to make suitable to or fit for a specific use or situation") for use by a companion animal. Given the broadest reasonable interpretation of "adapted for use by a companion animal, Cheuk does in fact teach Applicants' claimed invention, since Cheuk teaches a canine pet food composition that is originally in liquid form that solidifies when cooled to provide a pet food composition in a form that is more easily and readily useable by a companion animal.

Therefore, the composition taught by Cheuk is a gravy "adapted for use by a companion animal" and the reference anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,248

Art Unit: 1655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 7

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14, 15 and 18-21 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farag et al. (C*), in view of http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food (W*), http://web.archive.org/web/*/http://www.peteducation.com/article.cfm?cls=1&cat=1399&articleid=2705 (X*) and http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=companion&r=66 (V*).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record set forth in the paper mailed on 06/02/2008 and repeated below, slightly altered to take into consideration Applicant's arguments filed on 09/24/2008.

Farag teaches a stable, bland, free flowing food supplement (please note that food is

defined as any substance that can be consumed, including liquid drinks and that food is the main source of energy and nutrition for animals, as taught by http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food) comprising sugar beet pulp, which has a chemical composition of about 4-8% by weight water, 7-9% crude protein, which reads on a nutrient, about 15-25% crude fiber (please note that the fiber in beet pulp is insoluble and moderately fermentable, as taught by

http://web.archive.org/web/*/http://www.peteducation.com/article.cfm?cls=1&cat=1399&articleid=2705, on page 1, paragraph 3, found in the section entitled, "The benefits of beet pulp"), which anticipates the range claimed by Applicant, about 60-70% nitrogen-free extracts and about 2.5-5% ash (See column 4, claim 9). Farag further teaches that the food supplement is usable in gravies, soups, sauces, dips and batters, as well as in imitation fruit drinks (See column 1, lines 54-62 and column 3, lines 38-49). Farag further teaches a composition comprising at least 0.25% fermentable fiber. Please note that human beings are "companion animals", since humans are defined as mammals, which is synonymous with animal, and companion is defined as "a

person who accompanies or associates with another" (See

http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=companion&r=66).

Farag does not teach that the composition is a gravy. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition taught by Farag by making a gravy from a composition comprising sugar beet pulp, which has a chemical composition of about 4-8% by weight water, 7-9% crude protein, which reads on a nutrient, about 15-25% crude fiber, because Farag expressly teaches that sugar beet pulp can be included in gravies. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make gravy out of sugar beet pulp since Farag expressly teaches that sugar beet pulp may be made into gravy.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art one would have been motivated to make gravy out of sugar beet pulp to provide a beneficial gravy composition because at the time the invention was made, the instantly claimed ingredient of sugar beet pulp were known to be useful for making gravy. Thus sugar beet pulp would have been expected to be even more effective for a gray composition, as clearly taught by the above references.

Finally, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success to make gravy out of sugar beet pulp, to provide a beneficial gravy composition because at the time the invention was made, sugar beet pulp was known to be useful in gravies.

Based upon the beneficial teachings of the cited references, the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art, and absent evidence to the contrary, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success to result in the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,248

Art Unit: 1655

Page 9

Accordingly, the claimed invention was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive of error in the rejection. Applicants argue that Farag specifically teaches that the composition is dry and quickly swells in hot or cold aqueous systems, thereby creating a filling and thickening material. See Column 1, lines 54-62. Applicants further argue that Farag does not teach that the composition may be provided as a gravy composition itself for does Farag provide any reasonable expectation in modifying the composition from a dry form into a gravy and that deficiencies of Farag are not remedied by the other references.

However, this is not found persuasive because Applicants' claims are drawn to a composition comprising at least about 0.25% of total fermentable fiber, wherein the composition is a gravy which is adapted for use by a companion animal. The term "comprising" is open claim language and does not exclude other ingredients. Furthermore, Farag expressly teaches that Farag's composition can be made into a gravy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make Farag's composition into a gravy for the reasons set forth above and presented in the previous Office Action. Please note that flour, which is a common ingredient in gravies, also quickly swells in hot or cold aqueous system to provide a filling and thickening material. Gravy requires this property in order to form what is considered to be gravy.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,248 Page 10

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy L. Clark whose telephone number is (571)272-1310. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday between 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher R. Tate/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655 Amy L. Clark AU 1655